FORMAL PUBLIC INTEGRITY & CHILD SAFETY COMPLAINT
TO: The Bureau of Children's Justice / The State Bar of California / The Commission on Judicial Performance / The United States Department of Justice
COMPLAINANT: Corey C. Lohman, Father of Protected Minor / Whistleblower (Distinguished State Witness in the 2025 Disbarment of Allan E. Perry)
RESPONDENTS:

1. **Natalie S. Keller (Bar No. 224972), Active Commissioner, Riverside County Superior Court (Former San Bernardino County Family Court Commissioner)**
2. **Joseph R. Barrell (Bar No. 237404), Tenured County Counsel, San Bernardino County Juvenile Dependency Department — State Bar Case No. 26-O-27242**
3. **Rebecca S. Lohman (Bar No. 286154), Supervising Attorney, San Bernardino County Juvenile Dependency Department — State Bar Case No. 26-O-27239**
4. **John N. Vega (Bar No. 86662), Law Office of John N. Vega — State Bar Case No. 26-O-26997**
5. **Lucas Tekin (Bar No. 359763), Deputy District Attorney, San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office — State Bar Case No. 26-O-27571**

CASE REFERENCE: In re the Matter of Lohman and Lohman, San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. FAMSB2207189

SECTION I: PREAMBLE & EMERGENCY REQUISITION FOR STATE-LEVEL INTERVENTION

This submission does not constitute a routine domestic relations or child custody challenge. This is a formal whistleblower petition, backed by authenticated legal records, certified law enforcement tracking logs, and a shorthand reporter's certificate, documenting a severe public integrity crisis and a coordinated multi-agency cover-up within the legal infrastructure of San Bernardino County.

For **over two years**, an absolute, unbroken communication and physical blockade has been enforced between Complainant Corey C. Lohman and his minor daughter, [MINOR] . This severe deprivation of fundamental parental and civil rights has been manufactured by a high-ranking county dependency official to conceal a court-ordered child safety restriction placed on her domestic partner, fellow County Dependency Attorney Joseph R. Barrell. Because local administrative channels are entirely compromised by structural conflicts of interest and retaliatory communication blackouts, immediate state-level intervention, subpoena execution, and emergency child safety remediation are required.

SECTION II: THE JUDICIAL NEGLIGENCE AND INSTITUTIONAL COVER-UP OF COMMISSIONER NATALIE S. KELLER

1. Institutional Scrutiny and Mandated Shielding Under CANRA

At all times relevant to these proceedings, the Redlands Unified School District (RUSD) was operating under a strict, court-ordered Stipulated Judgment issued by the California Office of the Attorney General. This mandate followed systemic failures to report child endangerment and enforced absolute compliance with the Child Abuse and Neglect

Reporting Act (CANRA). Despite knowing that a high-risk student from this state-monitored district sat directly in front of her bench, Commissioner Natalie S. Keller systematically suppressed child safety disclosures to shield county legal executives.

2. Technical Sabotage of the Automated State Computer Tracking Loops

On December 26, 2023, following explicit parallel risk warnings from Child Psychologist Dr. Claudia Moon-Perez and Child Custody Recommending Counselor Betty Tamoto, LCSW, the court was legally compelled to issue a temporary safety restriction mandating that the minor child shall not be left alone with Joseph R. Barrell. However, the physical record exposes a calculated, bad-faith structural cover-up designed by Commissioner Keller to insulate Barrell from public and county counsel risk monitoring:

- **Boilerplate Burial:** Instead of entering a primary, easily searchable protective order into the automated state computer tracking loops, Commissioner Keller intentionally constructed a completely defective text order. She manually buried the restriction exclusively on the rear attachment page (**Form FL-341, Page 2**) of a standard custody document, dropping it into the middle of dense, boilerplate visitation conditions.
- **Tactical Spelling Manipulation:** To ensure this restriction could never be discovered via a mandatory background check, public index search, or automated database crawler, Commissioner Keller **intentionally misspelled the attorney's name as "Joe Berrelle"** within the text paragraph, completely omitting his actual legal name and State Bar number (Joseph R. Barrell, SBN 237404). This tactical alteration ensured that any name-based database trace would return clean.

3. The 66-Day Electronic Registry Freeze

Although Commissioner Keller issued her child safety rulings on the record during the December 26, 2023 hearing, she **deliberately failed to file, register, or execute the formal legal paperwork** with the court clerk for over two months. The official court stamp reveals that the *Findings and Order After Hearing* (Form FL-340) was actively suppressed, remaining entirely unentered into the electronic registry until March 1, 2024. By leaving the order hanging exclusively on unverified spoken words, Keller ensured that no official paper record existed in the database system during January and February 2024.

4. Efficacy of the Data Blackout and Neutralization of Risk Management

The real-world consequence of Commissioner Keller's administrative concealment manifested directly in January 2024. Aware that the compromised attorneys would violate their reporting obligations, Complainant Corey C. Lohman proactively contacted Beth Steigerwalt, Head of the San Bernardino County Juvenile Dependency Department, to sound an emergency alarm regarding Barrell's child safety restrictions. Because Commissioner Keller had deliberately withheld the execution of the signed order, buried the mandate, and used a falsified name spelling, Head Chief Steigerwalt was entirely unable to locate any restriction matching the target attorney. No internal investigation was conducted, leaving an un-vetted, judicially restricted attorney acting as a primary authority figure over vulnerable county minors.

THE PLAIN-ENGLISH INDICTMENT: CODE-ORDER SABOTAGE

- **The Scam:** Keller knew she had to write a child protection order because two independent court experts demanded it to keep a 9-year-old girl safe from Joseph Barrell. But instead of entering a real, searchable restraining order that would flag Barrell's employers, she built a completely invisible text order.
- **The Sabotage:** She hid the safety restriction on **Page 2 of Form FL-341**—buried in a wall of generic text about weekend visitation—so no database, police crawler, or clerk would ever notice it.
- **The Identity Erasure:** She deliberately misspelled the restricted lawyer's name as "**Joe Berrelle**" and left out his State Bar Number (SBN 237404). This was a deliberate data wipe to ensure any automated background trace run by his county employers would come back 100% clean, protecting his professional credentials while leaving a child unprotected.
- **The Filing Freeze:** She held onto the physical paperwork and **suppressed it from the court's electronic registry for 66 days** (from December 26, 2023, to March 1, 2024). Because the order only existed in her spoken words, the Head of the Dependency Department, Beth Steigerwalt, could find absolutely zero records when the father called to report the safety threat.
- **The Core Misconduct:** This is an outright abuse of power to format an order specifically to make it invisible to computer tracking loops. A judicial officer cannot manually alter an attorney's identity or freeze physical files to clear a data portal for a legal colleague.

SECTION III: THE MANUFACTURED KIDNAPPING FABRICATION AND CONCURRENT DUE PROCESS DEPRIVATION

1. The Extrajudicial Hallway Custody Termination of March 5, 2024

Believing the administrative vacuum was an oversight caused by the court's 66-day filing delay, the father arrived at the Department S-43 courtroom on March 5, 2024, prepared to present a comprehensive portfolio of physical evidence, including certified Rancho Cucamonga Police Department (RCPD) dispatch logs proving he acted under explicit law enforcement guidance to isolate his child from a safety threat.

The father was systematically denied his constitutional right to due process. Before the case was called, before the father could take the stand, and before a single word of personal testimony could be offered, **the father was physically intercepted in the hallway and handed a fully completed, pre-signed Temporary Emergency Order (Form FL-305)**. Commissioner Keller signed away the father's rights *in camera* prior to the commencement of the scheduled session, executing an extrajudicial custody swap that stripped the father of 100% of his visitation without ever hearing oral argument.

2. Blind Judicial Validation of Fractured and Altered Evidence

To secure the emergency *Ex Parte* custody termination, Rebecca Lohman and her counsel, John Nicholas Vega, submitted physical filings as Exhibit B that revealed a flagrant manipulation of court-mandated records. Keller had strictly ordered the parents to use the certified, secure TalkingParents application. To mislead the bench and manufacture a false emergency, **Lohman and Vega physically altered the TalkingParents printout logs**, omitting up to three-quarters of the certified verification

pages, deleting critical system metadata, and stripping out unalterable electronic signatures.

Commissioner Keller committed gross negligence by blindly accepting these sliced fragments. Keller completely ignored a fatal defect sitting directly in the middle of the mother's doctored Exhibit B: sequentially printed sequential text sequentially capturing an explicit message written by the father weeks prior cleanly notifying the mother of his exact new city relocation details. Keller turned a blind eye to this physical confession of prior knowledge, validating an *Ex Parte* motion built on obvious perjury.

THE PLAIN-ENGLISH INDICTMENT: HALLWAY JUSTICE

- **The Scam:** Keller didn't even let the father walk into the courtroom or speak on the record before stripping him of his child. She pre-signed his custody destruction in secret.
- **The Hallway Intercept:** Before the case was ever called, the father was cornered in the hallway and handed a fully executed, pre-signed Temporary Emergency Order (**Form FL-305**). Keller signed away 100% of his parenting rights *in camera* (in her private chambers) without holding a hearing, taking oral argument, or looking at his neutral law enforcement logs.
- **The Doctored Evidence:** Keller blindly validated a stack of TalkingParents text logs submitted by John Vega that had **three-quarters of the certified verification pages physically cut and sliced out**.
- **The Perjury Pass:** She ignored the fact that the mother's own tampered evidence contained a sequentially printed text message proving the mother knew the father's exact new residence weeks prior. Keller accepted this doctored paperwork to rubber-stamp a fake "parental kidnapping" emergency.
- **The Core Misconduct:** This is an absolute betrayal of basic judicial neutrality. A judicial officer cannot sign away a parent's fundamental rights in a backroom deal using physically altered documents while flatly refusing to open the courtroom door to the targeted party.

SECTION IV: THE FRAUDULENT FABRICATION AND UNLAWFUL MANIPULATION OF THE DVRO RENEWAL (FORM DV-730) TO EXTEND A DEFECTIVE, YEAR-EXPIRED ORDER UNTIL 2029

1. Context of the Groundless 2022 Restraining Order

The physical court record conclusively establishes that the foundational *Restraining Order After Hearing* (Form DV-130) filed on November 3, 2022, carried zero allegations or findings of physical abuse. Instead, this "no-negative contact order" arose strictly as an emotional reaction to a marital breakdown. Corey C. Lohman discovered unassailable court documents revealing that his then-wife, Supervising County Dependency Attorney Rebecca Lohman, **had "stepped out" of their marriage and initiated a long-term romantic relationship with another county employee, Joseph R. Barrell, immediately following the birth of their daughter,** [MINOR] . Upon discovering this profound betrayal via the physical court record, the father reacted emotionally and used vulgar language toward Rebecca Lohman and her mother. Rebecca Lohman

subsequently weaponized her county credentials to turn a private dispute over infidelity into an official domestic violence restriction.

2. Unlawful Resurrection of a Year-Expired Order and Admissions of Judicial Urgency

The original domestic violence restraining order was explicitly set to expire on **November 3, 2023**. By the time the parties appeared before Commissioner Keller on September 19, 2024, the order had been legally dead and completely non-existent for nearly a full year. Under California law, a renewal is intended to prolong an *active* protective mandate. Instead, Commissioner Keller executed an egregious act of judicial overreach: **she not only authored and granted a renewal on a dead order, but she extended its terms until September 19, 2029—resurrecting an order that had been expired for 321 days**.

Furthermore, Commissioner Keller explicitly detached her 2024 renewal from the original baseline criteria of the 2022 order. Rather than reviewing the actual scope of the initial restrictions, Keller manufactured entirely new, severe, and unsubstantiated thresholds. During these rushed proceedings, Commissioner Keller **openly stated on the record that she needed to issue a ruling immediately because she was "running out of time."** This urgency was driven entirely by personal career preservation: **she was quietly vacating her San Bernardino County office and moving to the Riverside County judicial jurisdiction just four days later, on September 23, 2024**.

3. Falsification of Personal Presence to Sabotage Service Protocols

The resulting *Order to Renew Domestic Violence Restraining Order* (Form DV-730), entry-stamped on September 19, 2024, contains a flagrant, deceptive fabrication directly on its face:

- **The Facial Fabrication:** Under **Item 4 (Hearing)**, Commissioner Keller explicitly checked the box declaring that the Restrained Person (**Corey C. Lohman**) was present at the hearing when the final orders were issued.
- **The Physical Reality:** The official Certified Reporter's Transcript and the master court record establish that while the father was present for the initial spoken arguments, **he abruptly left the courtroom in deep distress before Commissioner Keller finalized her rulings, signed the forms, or issued any clear parameters regarding the extended custody orders**. The transcript explicitly records the Court acknowledging his sudden departure, stating on the record: *"(Whereupon, Mr. Lohman left the courtroom.)"* and *"...as he pushed the door open, almost hit people in the hallway..."*.

By intentionally checking box **6a ("No other proof of service is needed. The people in (1) and (2) attended the hearing...")**, Commissioner Keller executed a critical, bad-faith manipulation of judicial administration. Under California law, because the father was not physically in the room when the final parameters of the 5-year extension were signed, he was legally entitled to personal service of the final Form DV-730. By falsely certifying that the father was fully present, Keller deliberately stripped away this mandatory safety check. This tactical paperwork manipulation allowed Rebecca Lohman and her counsel, John Nicholas Vega, to immediately distribute the un-served order to third parties—including Mission Elementary School Principal Minerva Castorena—and enforce extrajudicial verbal definitions of "indirect contact," directly

setting the stage for the false arrest, detention, and malicious criminal prosecution of the father at a school talent show 38 days later.

THE PLAIN-ENGLISH INDICTMENT: RECORD FALSIFICATION & RE-ENDANGERMENT

- **The Scam:** Keller brought a dead order back from the grave just to lock down a permanent communication blackout between a father and daughter, purely because she was packing her bags to leave the county.
- **The Dead Order Renewal:** The original name-calling restriction **expired on November 3, 2023**. Keller took this legally non-existent order and **resurrected it 321 days after it died**, extending it for a maximum 5-year block until 2029.
- **The Career Clock:** She explicitly admitted on the record that she had to hurry up and issue these immediate rulings because she was **"running out of time."** The rush had nothing to do with justice—**she was quitting San Bernardino County and moving to a new bench in Riverside County just 4 days later**.
- **The Falsified Form:** Keller officially checked the box on **Item 4 of Form DV-730** stating that the father was present in court when the orders were finalized.
- **The Paperwork Trap:** The certified transcript proves **the father had already walked out of the room in deep distress**. By fabricating his presence on an official court record, Keller engineered box **6a** to state that "no further proof of service is needed." She intentionally stripped away the legal requirement for personal service, allowing the mother to hand an un-served document to school authorities, directly facilitating the father's false arrest 38 days later.

SECTION V: THE CONCEALED FINANCIAL PROCUREMENT AND JUDICIAL ADOPTION OF A JURISDICTIONALLY DEFECTIVE AND BIASED EVALUATION

1. Threshold Jurisdictional and Licensing Violations

The primary instrument utilized to transition the temporary custody termination into a permanent restriction of the father's rights was a 94-page Child Custody Evaluation Report purportedly executed pursuant to California Evidence Code Section 730. This report is structurally and legally void on its face. As detailed on the formal letterhead, the evaluator, Janice L. Moody, PhD, LCSW, operates strictly out of Corona, California, within the exclusive jurisdiction of Riverside County. Under California Rules of Court, Rule 5.225, an evaluator must hold active panel certification and local registration within the venue county to possess the legal authority to execute a court-mandated custody evaluation. At the time of authoring this evaluation, Moody held zero operational license or panel status within the San Bernardino County Superior Court system. The moving party financially procured this out-of-jurisdiction asset by advancing a substantial private payment of **$13,000** to validate her custody demands.

2. Integration of the Active Threat into the Collateral Forensic Record

The definitive proof of Commissioner Keller’s gross judicial negligence lies in her deliberate validation of a report that actively integrated the testimony of an active threat to the minor child. Despite the active nature of the December 2023 safety restriction on her own docket mandating that the minor shall not be left alone with Joseph Barrell, Commissioner Keller accepted an evaluation report that explicitly integrated and relied upon Barrell's testimony twice (documented extensively on Page 40 of Moody's report).

Barrell used these touchpoints to submit a self-serving, promotional narrative designed exclusively to rehabilitate his character, containing zero explanation or clinical analysis regarding why he was judicially restricted from unsupervised access to the minor. By adopting this report into evidence without a single reservation, Commissioner Keller substituted a binding child protective mandate with the self-promoting fabrications of the restricted boyfriend, returning the minor child to a legally compromised, unmonitored environment.

3. Judicial Acceptance of Fabricated Syndromes and Exclusion of Clinical Reality

Commissioner Keller failed to execute a threshold assessment of the text she used to terminate the father's rights. The report highlighted the mother's subjective assertions of "post-separation marriage nightmares" and "post-separation abuse," which the evaluator uncritically wrote into the record. Neither constitutes a recognized clinical disorder, and they do not exist anywhere within the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5-TR) or any legal framework.

While Commissioner Keller blindly accepted these non-existent, made-up syndromes, she completely ignored the actual, severe mental health disorders documented in the clinical testing. As proven on Page 27 through objective diagnostic testing, the minor child was suffering from genuine, acute psychological disorders—endorsing diagnostic indicators for severe obsessive-compulsive traits, intense anxiety, actual nightmares, and **hearing voices**. By blind-stamping this legally incompetent report, Commissioner Keller abandoned her judicial duty, utilizing a completely unscientific, fabricated narrative to permanently terminate the father's custody and award full control to a fellow county legal official.

THE PLAIN-ENGLISH INDICTMENT: BOUGHT JUNK SCIENCE

- **The Scam:** Keller accepted a corrupt, high-priced, out-of-jurisdiction report that integrated the personal statements of an active child safety threat to completely override independent clinical psychologist reports.
- **The Paid Asset:** She adopted a custody report written by Janice L. Moody, an un-paneled practitioner operating out of Riverside County who **held zero license or legal authority to perform evaluations in San Bernardino**. The mother privately financed this out-of-jurisdiction asset with a heavy **$13,000 cash payment**.
- **The Restricted Input:** Keller accepted a report that explicitly **integrated extensive personal statements from Joseph Barrell twice** while he was actively under a strict court restriction prohibiting him from being left alone with the child. She let a safety threat use an official court evaluation to run a promotional character rehabilitation narrative.
- **The Internet Catchphrases:** Keller permanently terminated the father's custody based on the report's use of unscientific internet slang like "post-separation marriage nightmares"—**terms that do not exist anywhere in the medical DSM or any legal framework**. Meanwhile, she completely ignored objective diagnostic testing proving that the child was actively suffering from acute trauma, nightmares, and **hearing voices**. Keller used her final days in office to hand full legal control over a deeply traumatized child to a compromised county colleague, stripped away every safety net, and vacated the jurisdiction.

SECTION VI: THE GROSS ESCALATION AND PERVERSION OF THE COURT PROCESS: FROM A STANDARD DIVORCE MATTER TO A SIX-YEAR CAMPAIGN OF INSTITUTIONAL RETALIATION

1. The Weaponization of the Family Court Apparatus

The true tragedy and fundamental corruption of this case lie in its inception. What is currently an active child safety crisis and an ongoing civil rights violation **began as nothing more than a standard, routine family law divorce case** filed six years ago. It was a simple dissolution of marriage. However, because the petitioner, Rebecca Lohman, is a high-ranking Supervising Attorney within the local juvenile dependency system, she and her counsel, John Nicholas Vega, systematically twisted a standard divorce case into a weapon of total destruction. For six years, they have used the power of the San Bernardino County Superior Court to wage a continuous campaign of administrative warfare, character assassination, and structural isolation against the father.

2. A Six-Year Nightmare of Manufactured Litigious Warfare

Over the span of six years and **more than 30 separate court appearances**, this litigation was deliberately kept open and continuously inflamed. When the father uncovered official records proving that his wife had stepped out on the marriage with another county attorney, Joseph R. Barrell, the case ceased to be about a family transition. It became a scorched-earth campaign to shield county officials from exposure and protect Barrell's public-facing career. Rebecca Lohman spent approximately **$500,000 on her private counsel** to flood the docket with baseline, non-violent name-calling restrictions, utilizing endless minor filings to exhaust the father financially and emotionally.

3. The Ultimate Perversion: Overturning the Purpose of Child Protection

The most severe abuse of the judicial process occurred when a standard divorce case was twisted to accomplish the exact opposite of family court mandates:

- **Concealing the Threat:** Over the course of these six years, the family court completely reversed its role. Instead of protecting a vulnerable child who was crying to school teachers and police officers that she had an unsafe home and was terrified of Joseph Barrell, the court system was manipulated to protect the attorneys.
- **The Six-Year Culmination:** Six years of legal maneuvering culminated in Commissioner Keller using her final days on the bench to strip a deeply bonded father of 100% of his parenting time. Keller converted a simple 2020 divorce case into a legal blockade that leaves a 10-year-old minor completely trapped and unmonitored with the very individual court-appointed clinical experts explicitly barred from unsupervised contact.

What should have been a standard legal dissolution has been turned into a six-year institutional cage that keeps a father and his daughter forcibly separated, completely perverting the administration of justice in the State of California.

SECTION VII: RELIEF SOUGHT & DISCIPLINARY DEMANDS

WHEREFORE, Complainant Corey C. Lohman respectfully requests that the reviewing oversight authorities initiate immediate, state-level enforcement actions to address this systemic breakdown of public integrity and child re-endangerment:

1. **Immediate Disciplinary Investigation:** Launch a formal evaluation into the actions of former Commissioner Natalie S. Keller regarding her technical fabrication of name spellings to bypass tracking portals and her fraudulent entry of personal presence on Form DV-730.
2. **Subpoena of Suppressed Evidence:** Execute state-level subpoenas to retrieve the unedited, secure electronic data from the TalkingParents application under Case No. FAMSB2207189 to expose the physical record tampering executed by John N. Vega and Rebecca S. Lohman.
3. **Transmission to the Commission on Judicial Performance:** Formally transmit these verified findings of extrajudicial hallway orders, paperwork suppression, and bad-faith jurisdictional maneuvers to the CJP to evaluate Keller's ongoing fitness to hold a judicial bench within the State of California.

VERIFICATION

I, Corey C. Lohman, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that I am the Complainant in the storefront matter, that I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof, and that the items, facts, and dates detailed therein are true and correct of my own personal knowledge.

Executed this _____ day of _____, 2026, at _____.

Corey C. Lohman, Whistleblower / Father of Protected Minor
